CLASSIFICATION

CONFIDENTIAL

REPORT

50X1-HUM

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION FROM

FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS

DATE OF INFORMATION

CD NO.

1950

COUNTRY **SUBJECT** 

Military . Flight training

HOW

DATE DIST. 22 Sep 1951

**PUBLISHED** 

Monthly periodical

ļ

WHERE

Warsaw **PUBLISHED** 

NO, OF PAGES

DATE

PUBLISHED

Oct 1950

Poland

SUPPLEMENT TO

LANGUAGE

Polish

REPORT NO.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE

Skrzylata Polska, Vol VI, No 10, 1950.

## POLISH AVIATION LEAGUE HOLDS CONTEST

Dyonizy Maciazek Jerzy Kulesza

From 30 September 1950 to 2 October 1950, the Main Administration of the LL (Aviation League) held the Eleventh Air Contest at Inowroclay to test the proficiency of the LL aeroclubs. This was the first national contest organized by the LL.

The contest was divided into (a) theory; (b) inspection of aircraft; (c) starting the engine; (d) cruising for 500 kilometers over terrain relatively difficult for navigation; (e) landing within a rectangle; and (f) orientation flight (g) and landing at a designated point in Inowroclaw.

The theoretical examination on political and professional current events was an interesting innovation. This constituted a qualifying examination for eligibility for the contests without affecting final results. The pilot must be an informed citizen of the People's Republic. The results of the contest should reflect not only knowledge of flying and navigation but also knowledge of politics. Those not interested in political problems should not take part in the contest. The political examinations, on the whole, showed good results but some candidates barely managed to squeeze through, for example, Augustyniak, Malinowski, and Kawalec.

The contestants did not do too well in Test B. Only 11 planes were in satisfactory condition; nine were dirty, but the condition of the planes in general was much better than it had been the year before.

Practically all the crews made the one-minute time limit for starting the engine. Test D, cruising, consisted of three stages. The first two stages, taken on the first day of the contest, called for a stop not exceeding 20 minutes Because of the good work of the ground crews, all the pilots passed this test, longest time being 8 minutes and the average time, 6 minutes.

-1-

CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION DISTRIBUTION X NSRB XVAN ARMY

C	A :- 1	-		7	.
یا	ارانا	i a	الاحطاسا	ú.,	?

50X1-HUM

## CONFIDENTIAL

At each stage of the problem, the crews had to pass a test in orientation, according to instructions in brown envelopes given them by the sports commissioner just before the take-off. The first stage included the detection of hidden aircraft on the ground. In the second stage, they had to fly over a broken course, following designated signals. In the third stage, contestants had to spot camouflaged aircraft and gliders.

The second stage was the most difficult. After accurately plotting the route on a map, the contestants flying along the route had to spot signals changing the direction of flight and indicating the course and distance to the next point, at which they found further signals, giving the course and the distance to the check point, and then to the final destination. Those who did not plot the course accurately did not locate the turning points. Seven found the first turning point, six the second, and only four covered the whole course, indicating that navigation is neglected by some aeroclubs.

The rules of the contests were badly drawn up and resulted in unfairness in rating contestants. The number of points awarded for orientation was too low in comparison with points awarded for flying the course on time, for landing on the field and on a designated spot, and for the technical problems. Another mistake was to disqualify crews who finished a given stage 8 minutes late. A crew which took great pains with the orientation problem could conceivably be eliminated for being 9 minutes late while other crews which took less pains with the orientation problem and missed most of the turning points could finish early and gain high marks.

Test E. landing in an open rectangle and landing on a marked spot, showed that, in comparison with last year, the aeroclubs have made great progress. The young pilots performed best, with Makula's field landing of 58 meters and spot landing of 4 meters being the best.

In summarizing the results of the contests, it must be admitted that they were relatively good. Instruction by the aeroclubs, which was along new lines this year, produced very satisfactory results.

For future contests, the aeroclubs of the LL should do as follows:

- 1. Inspect the preparation in navigation and admit only those pilots who have prepared themselves properly.
- 2. Require strict attention to duty during flight, on the part of the navigator observer, so that he does not sit out the flight as on the Bielsk team; that navigator fell asleep and the aircraft flew off course.
  - 3. Perform flights under difficult weather conditions and at low altitudes.
  - 4. Designate new courses and more difficult orientation points.
- 5. Check pilots on their knowledge of flight instructions and take-off regulations.
  - 6. Hold mock contests before the actual flights.
  - 7. Admit those crews which are outstanding in social work and in flying.
  - 8. Adopt more Soviet methods of training amateur pilots.
  - 9. Continually raise the level of ideological and professional training.

- 2 -

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

## Results of the Eleventh National Air Contest

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/21 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000700010309-6

		I.L Aeroclubs	ЖB	c .	<u>Conte</u> D	est E_	F_	<u>c</u> _	rotal Points	4
Standing	Crews (Pilos a New Sator)			100	398	153	723	24	1,478	
1	Bernadowicz - Dunajewski	Olsztyn		100	380	161	753		1,464	
2	Pabian - Bulat	K	•,		180			46	1,353	
3	Makula - Rawicz	Slask	100				554	27	1,330	
14	Kosarski - Pakulski	Lodz	80	TOS	198				1,323	
5	Wisniewski - Kosiol	Bielsk	100	100	180	130	770	35	•	
6	Augustyniak - Staszczyk	Krakow	100	100	198	125	743	39	1,305	. <b>.</b>
	Dankowski - Kudzewicz	Kujawy	100	100	198	142	700	3	1,237	OI Z
7	Pawlikowski - Zietek	Poznan	95	100	260	198	547	1	1,199	ONFIE
8	Markowski - Malinowski	Warsaw	100	100	160	159	670	3	1,186	ONT LE MAINTIAL
9		Bielsk	95	100	198	40	733	14	1,180	
10	Sandauer - Dobija	Lodz	75	100	198	144	649	·	1,166	
ii	Barys - Przybylski		85	100	269		670	5	1,129	EOVA LILINA
12	Rossa - Skalecki	Wroclaw	-		-		547	9	1,081	50X1-HUM
13	Kowalczyk - Antosiewicz	Warsaw	95						1,077	
14	Szczesny - Urbaniak	Krakow	80	100	-				•	
15	Dembowski - (no navigator)	Warsaw	100	100	198	3 143	529		1,070	
16	Figwer - Kozicl	Slask	60	100	198	3 174	473	43	1,048	
	Szymczak - Czempinski	Poznan	80	100	198	3 190	448	10	1,006	
17		Czestochowa	70	100	14	112	2 497		923	.
.18	Pietka - Malek		1.00	50	19	3 168	291		807	
19	Bieszczad - Kaminski	Pomorze	201	. , ,			-			

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/21 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000700010309-6

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/21: CIA-RDP80-00809A000700010309-6

CON	177	77.50	1	7 -	1
1.110	l De l	44.7			
REIPE	15 1	100			- 1
		<u>.</u> .		4	

CONFIDENTIAL

50X1-HUM

Notes to Table

/¥ No "A" in origina
//

Meaning of letters: B, inspection of aircraft; C, starting the engine; D, flight on course covering about 700 /sic/ kilometers in 2 days; E, landing within a rectangle; F, orientation flight; and G, landing on a designated spot.

The other crews were not rated.

. END -

- 4 -

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDERTIA